



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,569	07/05/2001	Tetsuo Ogino	0015049/279(128)	4089

7590 03/16/2004

MOONRAY KOJIMA
BOX 627
WILLIAMSTOWN, MA 01267

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, CINDY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2171

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/900,569

Applicant(s)

OGINO ET AL.

Examiner

Cindy Nguyen

Art Unit

2171

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 76-92 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 76-92 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 September 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to communication filed 01/29/04.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/29/04 has been entered.

1. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 76, 78-84, 86 and 88-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ballantyne et al. (U.S 5867821) (Ballantyne) in view of Kotake et al. (U.S 5581460) (Kotake).

Regarding claim 76, Ballantyne discloses: A medical image servicing system (40, fig. 2, Ballantyne) comprising: a network (22, fig. 2, Ballantyne) generally available to the public a transmitting subscriber (as physicians offices, clinics laboratories fig. 1, Ballantyne) connected to said network;

At least one subscriber connected to said network for transmitting and receiving medical image and information through said network (col. 11, lines 11-27, Ballantyne);

Art Unit: 2171

a single server connected to said network for servicing said at least one subscriber upon signaling by said at least one subscriber and through said network(as physicians offices, clinics laboratories fig. 1, Ballantyne),said single server comprising a data base (2, master library, fig. 1, Ballantyne).

means for checking and verifying legitimacy of a subscriber to access desired medical images and information by signaling through said network (col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, lines 64, Ballantyne);

Means for processing and delivering said medical images and information through said network to said subscriber seeking access after checking and verifying legitimacy of said subscriber to said desired medial images and information (col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, lines 64, Ballantyne), said medical images being associated with at least one image selected from the group consisting of MRI, X-ray CT, ultrasond, PET, digitized X-ray and CR (col. 9, line 47-50, Ballantyne); and

Wherein said single server further comprises:

Means for compressing in data size medical images when transmitted through said network and for decompressing in data size said medical images to original data size when received through said network by said at least one subscriber seeking access (col. 9, line 40-57, Ballantyne); and

Means for producing backup of said medical images registered in said database (col. 13, line 10-20, Ballantyne).

However, Ballantyne didn't disclose: means for registering in said database medical images and information transmitted through said network by said at least one subscriber. On the

Art Unit: 2171

other hand, Kotake discloses: means for registering in said database medical images and information transmitted through said network by said at least one subscriber (7a, fig. 5 and corresponding text, Kotake). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the steps for registering in said database medical images and information transmitted by said at least one subscriber in the system of Ballantyne as taught by Kotake. The motivation being enable to register a plurality of medical diagnostic image data on patients with attaching registration numbers indicating corresponding medical diagnostic image data (col. 2, lines 50-55, Kotake).

Regarding claim 78, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 76 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein said at least one subscriber is a software executing subscriber running medical software for transmission through said network to said single server (col. 4, lines 30-36, Ballantyne); and wherein said single server manages medical software and registers said medical software transmitted through said network by said at least one subscriber in said database and causes delivery of said medical software through said network to said software executing subscriber (col. 6, lines 47 to col. 7, lines 6, Ballantyne).

Regarding claim 79, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 75 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein two or more subscribers are provided, each connected to said network (Doctors offices and clinics Laboratories, fig. 1, Ballantyne).

Regarding claim 80, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 76 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein said at least one subscriber

Art Unit: 2171

comprises means for specifying types of image processing to be communicated through said network to said single server, (col. 4, lines 30-36, Ballantyne).

Regarding claim 81, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 76 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein said single server comprises means for informing said at least one subscriber through said network of type of image processing to be applied (col. 4, lines 52-65, Ballantyne).

Regarding claim 88, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 76 and 80 and 81 above. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

Regarding claim 82, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 59 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein said single server comprises means for establishing communication with said at least one subscriber when image processing is completed (col. 6, lines 32-45, Ballantyne); and means for transmitting through said network said medical images subjected to said image processing to said at least one subscriber (col. 9, lines 40-50, Ballantyne).

Regarding claim 83, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 76 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein said at least one subscriber comprises means for transmitting through said network to said server, a request for medical images subjected to image processing (col. 16, lines 22-49, Ballantyne); and means for receiving said medical image from said single server through said network (col. 16, lines 50-61, Ballantyne).

Regarding claim 84, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 76 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein said single server comprises

Art Unit: 2171

means for storing each medical image in at least one form before image processing (as compressed) and means for storing each medical image in at least one form (decompressed) after said image processing (col. 14, lines 26-44, Ballantyne).

Regarding claim 86, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 76 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein said single server comprises means for polling said at least one subscriber through said network to collect medical images before image processing (col. 11, lines 11-27, Ballantyne).

Regarding claim 89, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 83 and 88 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: reading medical images from said database; sending each medical image from said single server through said network to said one subscriber and display said medical images by said one subscriber (col. 13, lines 43 to col. 14, lines 44, Ballantyne).

Regarding claim 90, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 88 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein said one subscriber requests through said network of said single server registration of said medical images and comprising the further steps of requesting imaging conditions by said single server to said one subscriber or by said one subscriber to said single server through said network (col. 9, lines 15-60, Ballantyne);

Sending said imaging conditions by said one subscriber to said single server through said network or by said single server to said one subscriber through said network (col. 11, lines 11-27, Ballantyne);

Said single server registering said medical images according to imaging conditions in said database (col. 11, lines 11-27, Ballantyne).

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 91, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 76, 88 and 89 above. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

Regarding claim 92, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 91 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake discloses: wherein said single server further sends through said network to said one subscriber request for identification information and said one subscriber sends such identification information to said single server through said network, wherein said single server reads medical images from said database and processes said medical images prior to sending results thereof to said one subscriber through said network (col. 9, lines 16-60, Ballantyne).

3. Claim 77 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ballantyne et al. (U.S 5867821) (Ballantyne) in view of Kotake et al. (U.S 5581460) (Kotake) and further in view of Roewer (U.S 5734915).

Regarding claim 77, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 76 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake disclose: wherein said at least one subscriber comprises a hard copy device and through said network to said single server (col. 6, lines 20-31, Ballantyne). However, Ballantyne/Kotake didn't disclose: wherein said at least one subscriber transmits format information including image identifier information to said hard copy device, wherein hard copy device receives delivery information through said images corresponding to said image identifier information through said network and the provides a hard copy of said medical images. On the other hand, Roewer discloses: wherein said at least one subscriber transmits format information including image identifier information to said hard copy device (col. 10, lines 34-57, Roewer), wherein hard copy device receives delivery information through

Art Unit: 2171

said images corresponding to said image identifier information through said network and the provides a hard copy of said medical images (col. 11, lines 45-51, Roewer). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include steps for receives delivery images through network then provides a hard copy of medical images in the combination system of Ballantyne/Kotake as taught by Roewer. The motivation being to enable the user receives medical images by print out hard copy of medical image so help doctors view clearly medical images so they understand the patient's condition and do the treatment better.

4. **Claims 85 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ballantyne et al. (U.S 5867821) (Ballantyne) in view of Kotake et al. (U.S 5581460) (Kotake) and further in view of Tanaka (U.S 6564256).**

Regarding claim 85, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 83 above. However, Ballantyne/Kotake didn't disclose: wherein said request is for only part or all of said medical image and wherein said part or all of said medical image are sent through said network to said at least one subscriber. On the other hand, Tanaka discloses: wherein said request is for only part or all of said medical image and wherein said part or all of said medical image are sent through said network to said at least one subscriber (col. 8, lines 3-25, Tanaka). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include steps request is for only part or all of said medical image and wherein said part or all of said medical image are sent through said network to said at least one subscriber in the combination system of Ballantyne/Kotake as taught by Tanaka. The motivation being enables to reduce and transfer of medical image at a higher speed.

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 87, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 76 above. In addition, Ballantyne/Kotake/Tanaka discloses: wherein said single server comprises means for sending through said network to a delivery destination imaging conditions for said medical images (col. 7, lines 46 to col. 8, lines 15, Tanaka). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include steps sending through said network to a delivery destination imaging conditions for said medical images in the combination system of Ballantyne/Kotake as taught by Tanaka. The motivation being enable to transfer of related medical image data such as past medical image data of the patient and the like to the database without sending a request for transfer of the related medical image data to the database.

5. Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sloane (U.S 5619991). Delivery of medical services using electronic data communications.

Kotake et al. (U.S 5581460). Medical diagnostic report forming apparatus capable of attaching image data on report.

6. Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cindy Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this

Art Unit: 2171

application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CN

Cindy Nguyen
March 11, 2004

Wm L
WAYNE AMSBURY
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER